



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/576,227	04/13/2006	Riki Okamoto	52433/843	6918
26646	7590	07/06/2009	EXAMINER	
KENYON & KENYON LLP ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004		YANG, JIE		
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	1793
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/06/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<i>Interview Summary</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/576,227	OKAMOTO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JIE YANG	1793	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JIE YANG. (3) Weining Wang.

(2) Atsuo Tanaka (with translator Kazuko Sherman). (4) Patrick Birde.

Date of Interview: June 30/2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: The applicants show comparison of the hole expension ratio data between invention and reference (A1 and A2) using power point file.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: JP'543 (A1) and JP'838 (A2).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Compared the hole expension ratio data between invention and reference (A1 and A2), the applicant argued the alloy of the instant invention obtains better performance over the alloy of prior arts. The Examiner notes all of the composition ranges of the alloy taught by prior art JP'543 overlapping the composition ranges as recited in the instant claim. No agreement has been reached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Roy King/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1793	